

UNITED STATE EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
_		¬ [EXAMINER

DATE MAILED:

ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

PAPER NUMBER

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	08/989, 896	GEHRMANN ET AL.			
, tarreery , tet.e	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Saunders David	1644			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 November 2000</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance or a Notice of Appeal. Alternatively, applicant may obtain further examination by timely filling a request for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d).					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]					
 a)					
mailing date of the final rejection.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136 (a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked.					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees.					
3. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search. (see NOTE below);			
(b) ⊠ they raise the issue of new matter. (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.			
4. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
6. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see attached note.					
7. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
8. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s)	is as follows (see attached writte	n explanation, if any):			
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13 and 25-33.</u>					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 14-22.					
9. The proposed drawing correction filed on a) has b) has not been approved by the Examiner.					
0. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
11. Other:					

Application/Control Number: 08/989,896

Art Unit: 1644

The following correction has been entered in the previous Office action in red ink and dated and initialed by the examiner:

At page 2, third line from bottom, changed "2" to -3--.

The proposed amendment to claim 1 raises an issue of new matter, since the claim would recite "... the compound has a bivalent or multivalent structure". Applicant has urged that the para. Spanning pages 5-6 supports the change. This portion of the specification, however, only discloses compounds which are dimers or tetramers (2 and 4 binding regions). The proposed recitation of multivalent would encompass molecular forms (e.g. trimers) not supported by the disclosure. The examiner notes that the recitation that "... the antigen binding region has a bivalent or multivalent structure" (as in the twice-amended version presented on 5/11/00) is supported by specification page 2.

The amernendments to claims 2 and 29 would be separately enterable.

The amendments to claims 3 and 30 would appear to be enterable; however, the examiner can make no decisive judgement, until claim 1 has been amended in a manner that raises no new issues.

The urgings regarding the 103 rejection are unconvincing. These merely present conclusionary statements that sFv regions to tumor antigens and pro-drug activating enzymes would not have been expected to work together in a fusion protein.

Applicant's urgings regarding Huston et al's teachings of the unpredictability of linker technology are unconvincing since Huston et al teach that "Although data are limited,"

Page 3 Application/Control Number: 08/989,896

Art Unit: 1644

the literature referenced in Table I suggests that some latitude exists in the design of linkers capable of producing functional sFv proteins. Linker fusion between V domains need not, in principle, compromise the folding of an sFv binding site." Therefore, one would have expected a reasonable degree of success in combining the teachings of the cited prior art to achieve a fusion protein having a functional sFv region capable of binding a tumor antigen and having a functional pro-drug activating enzyme region.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to David A. Saunders at telephone number 703-308-3976.

Sand a Saemdees

DAVID SAUNDERS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 182 /6 44